WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-317 of 2017.</u>

Indrajit Ghosh + 3 Others. Vs The State of West Bengal & Others.

	Indrajit Ghosh + 3 Others. Vs The State of West Bengal & Others	
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
		of parties when necessary 3
	For the Applicants : Mr. S. K. Seal,	
12	Mr. S. Seal, Advocates.	
05-09-2019		
05 05 2025	For the State Respondents : Mrs.S. Agarwal,	
	The instant application has been filed	
	praying for the following reliefs:-	
	a) Leave may be granted to file and	
	move the instant application;	
	b) An order setting aside order	
	dated 06-11-2015 being Annexure	
	A2 to the instant Application and	
	further directing Respondent Nos.	
	2, 3 and 4 to consider the	
	application which is Annexure 'A-2'	
	to this application and further	
	commanding he Respondent Nos. 2,	
	3 and 4 to take expeditious administrative steps by giving	
	employment to the applicant on	

Form No.			

Indrajit Ghosh + 3 Others

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1 Compassionate ground for mitigation of the suffering of the applicants and dependents of the deceased employee. c) Any such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper; As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05-2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed their reply The respondents have filed their reply	
compassionate ground for mitigation of the suffering of the applicants and dependents of the deceased employee. c) Any such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper; As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05-2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	re
applicants and dependents of the deceased employee. c) Any such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper; As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05-2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
applicants and dependents of the deceased employee. c) Any such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper; As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05-2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
deceased employee. c) Any such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper; As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05- 2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
c) Any such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper; As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05- 2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05-2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
As per the applicant, his father died on 16-05-2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
2009. Thereafter he approached the authority for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
for compassionate appointment. However the authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
authority vide their order dated 06-11-2015 have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
have rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
ground that the applicant's family income was more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
more than 90% income of his father. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application.	
application.	
The respondents have filed their reply	
The respondents have filed their reply	
The respondents have med then reply	
wherein they have categorically submitted	
that:-	
"after receiving all the required	
documents the prayer of the	
applicant was scrutinized in the light	

Indrajit Ghosh + 3 Others

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No.OA-317 of 2017

Form No.

Case No.OA-317 of 2	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	of Labour department's Notifications	3
	No. 30-EMP dated 2.4.2008 read with	
	114-EMP dated 14.8.2008 and found	
	that the financial criteria as laid	
	down in the said notification is not	
	fulfilled in the instant case taking	
	into account the family income just	
	after the death of the Ex-employee =	
	R. 16,287/- [Rs.6,587(Fsamily	
	pension) + Rs.3825/- (interest	
	income at the rate 8 P.A) accrued	
	from the amount (Rs. $5,73,721/-$) of	
	death benefit including G.P.F.	
	+Rs.5000/- (monthly income of other	
	dependants of the deceased	
	employee) + R. 875/- (income from	
	moveable and immoveable	
	properties)] exceeds (i) Rs.13,050/-	
	which is 90 per cent of the monthly	
	salary (Rs. 14,500/-) last drawn by	
	the Ex-employee and (ii) Rs.8,946/-	
	that is the minimum salary of Group	
	D employee at the material point of	
	time that is just after the date of	

Form No.

Indrajit Ghosh + 3 Others	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

2017	
Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
death of the Ex-employee. I say that	
in the said context the prayer of the	
applicant was rejected by the	
competent authority and was	
informed vide memo No. HPA/G-	
263/2011/A-7064 dated 6.11.2015.	
It is pertinent to state that the	
financial calculation has been made	
taking into account the amount of	
family pension and all other income	
as on May, 2009".	
Therefore as per the respondents as the	
family income of the applicant is more than	
90% of gross income of his father, therefore the	
respondents has rightly rejected the claim of	
the applicant.	
Though the applicant has filed	
rejoinder. However he did not raised any	
dispute in calculation submitted by the	
respondents.	
We have heard both the parties and	
	death of the Ex-employee. I say that in the said context the prayer of the applicant was rejected by the competent authority and was informed vide memo No. HPA/G-263/2011/A-7064 dated 6.11.2015. It is pertinent to state that the financial calculation has been made taking into account the amount of family pension and all other income as on May, 2009". Therefore as per the respondents as the family income of the applicant is more than 90% of gross income of his father, therefore the respondents has rightly rejected the claim of the applicant. Though the applicant has filed rejoinder. However he did not raised any dispute in calculation submitted by the respondents.

Form No.

Indrajit Ghosh + 3 Others

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	perused the records. It is noted that the	
	respondent has considered the case of the	
	applicant as per the relevant Labour	
	Department Circular for compassionate	
	appointment wherein it has been specifically	
	stipulated that the gross family income of the	
	candidate should not be more than 90% of the	
	gross income of the deceased employee.	
	However from the perusal of the calculation	
	submitted by the respondents, it is noted that	
	the gross family income of the applicant is more	
	than 90% of the gross income of his deceased	
	father which fact have not been denied by the	
	applicant. Therefore in our considered view, the	
	respondents have rightly rejected the claim of	
	the applicant as per their scheme. Accordingly,	
	OA is dismissed being devoid of merit with no	
	order as to cost.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	
Mihir		

	Indrajit Ghosh + 3 Others	
Form No.	••••	
	Vs.	
	The State of West Bengal & Others.	

Case NO.OA-317 01		
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
1	2	of parties when necessary 3
		-